

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/746,901 11/18/96 ELLIOTT Ι MCIC-105/00U **EXAMINER** LM02/0821 MCIWORLDCOM NGUYEN, S 1133 19th Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington DC 20036

2731

08/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/746,901

Elliot

Examiner

Steven Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2731



ТН	E PER	RIOD FOR RE	ESPON	SE: [checl	only a) or	b)]					
	a) 💢	expires	4	months fron	the mailing o	date of the final re	ejection.				
	b) 🗌	expires either is later. In no rejection.	three mo event, he	nths from the owever, will th	mailing date e statutory pe	of the final reject eriod for the respo	tion, or on the ma onse expire later	ailing date of than six mo	this Advisory Ad nths from the da	ction, whichever te of the final	
	data an	which the reen	once the	netition and	the fee have	under 37 CFR 1.1 been filed is the c amount of the fed utory period for re	date of the respon	nse and also	n the date for the	nurnoses of	
	Appell period	lant's Brief is i for respons	due tw e set fo	o months f th above,	om the dat whichever i	te of the Notic s later). See	e of Appeal f 37 CFR 1.19	iled on 1(d) and 3	7 CFR 1.192((or within a a).	ny
Ap bu	plican : is NC	nt's respons OT deemed 1	e to the to place	final reject the appli	tion, filed cation in c	on <u><i>May 22,</i></u> ondition for a	2000 allowance:	_ has bee	n considered	d with the following	g effect,
X	The p	roposed ame	endmen	t(s):							
	🗴 wi	ill be entered	d upon f	iling of a N	otice of Ap	peal and an A	ppeal Brief.				
	☐ wi	ill not be ent	ered be	cause:							
		they raise r	new issu	es that wo	uld require	further consid	deration and/	or search.	(See note be	elow).	
		they raise t	he issue	of new m	atter. (See	note below).					
		they are no issues for a		ed to place	the applica	ation in better	form for appe	eal by mat	erially reducir	ng or simplifying the	
		they preser	nt additi	onal claims	without ca	incelling a cor	responding n	umber of	finally rejecte	d claims.	
	NO	TE:									
											
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	□ A ₁	pplicant's res	sponse I	nas overco	me the follo	owing rejection	n(s):		-		
	A	pplicant's res	sponse I	nas overco	me the follo	owing rejection	n(s):				
	 			-					would be	allowable if submitt	ed in a
	Newly separ	y proposed c	or amen iled ame	ded claims endment ca	ncelling th	e non-allowab	ole claims.	ut does N	OT place the	allowable if submitt	tion
	Newly separ	y proposed c rate, timely fi	or amen iled ame	ded claims endment ca	ncelling th	e non-allowab	ole claims.	ut does N	OT place the	application in condi	tion
	Newly separ	y proposed c rate, timely fi affidavit, exhi lowance bec	or amen iled ame bit or re ause:	ded claims endment ca quest for r	incelling the	e non-allowab tion has been	ole claims. considered b	ut does N	OT place the	application in condi	tion
	Newly separ The a for all	y proposed orate, timely fi affidavit, exhi lowance bed affidavit or exhiner in the fi	or amen iled ame bit or re cause: chibit wi nal reje	ded claims endment care quest for r	econsidera AHAM onsidered	e non-allowab tion has been	ole claims. considered b	out does N	OT place the	application in condi	tion
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam	y proposed orate, timely finaffidavit, exhiple to the control of t	or amen iled ame bit or re ause: chibit wi nal reje	ded claims endment care quest for records. I NOT be continued to the status of the st	econsidera AAAA onsidered	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed S s (see attache	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condi	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting the find affidavit or exhibiting the find affidavit of American affidaved:	or amen iled ame bit or re cause: chibit wi nal reje	ded claims endment car quest for response to the contract of t	econsidera AAA onsidered	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Solutions (see attached)	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condi	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting in the find allowed: ans allowed: ans objected t	or amen iled ame bit or re ause: chibit wi nal reje appeal, f	ded claims endment care quest for respectively. I NOT be detion. he status of	econsidera AAAA onsidered onsidered	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be anot directed Sections (see attached)	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, if	application in condi	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting right that is allowed:ns objected that rejected:proposed dra	or amen iled ame bit or recause: chibit winal rejectory appeal, 100; 19-30 wing co	ded claims endment care quest for rection.	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting right that is allowed:ns objected that rejected:proposed dra	or amen iled ame bit or recause: chibit winal rejectory appeal, 100; 19-30 wing co	ded claims endment care quest for rection.	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting right that is allowed:ns objected that rejected:proposed dra	or amen iled ame ibit or recause: chibit winal rejectory in all rejectory	ded claims endment carendment car	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting the find affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or ex	or amen iled ame ibit or recause: chibit winal rejectory in all rejectory	ded claims endment carendment car	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting the find affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or ex	or amen iled ame ibit or recause: chibit winal rejectory in all rejectory	ded claims endment carendment car	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the
	Newly separ The a for all The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed of rate, timely find affidavit, exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or exhibiting the find affidavit or exhibiting affidavit or ex	or amen iled ame ibit or recause: chibit winal rejectory in all rejectory	ded claims endment carendment car	ed on	e non-allowab tion has been 	ole claims. considered be not directed Sections (see attached be not directed Sections).	OLELY to	OT place the issues which explanation, it	application in condinate were newly raised frany):	by the

Art Unit: 2731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/24/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 1-6 of the response, the applicant states that Yang or Kubler does not transmit a query includes a type of call service for obtaining a plurality of gateways. In reply, Yang discloses the directory Servers being the main philosophy behind the INETPhone service is to reduce a long distance phone call into two local calls and an Internet connection. Therefore, an INETPhone server will always be identified by its IP address with its local area code of the phone number (also possibly with its sub-regional number). In order to support a dynamic configuration of INETPhone servers on the Internet, a Directory Server(s) (DS) will be required to map between IP address and area code of INETPhone servers (Plurality of gateways), which in some sense, is similar to the functions of a Name Server. After an NETPhone server is installed on the Internet, it needs to register itself with a DS. The mapping information at DS will be disseminated to INETPhone servers for the search of a remote server in response to a requested phone call. Local cache of mapping information may also be maintained at INETPhone servers to alleviate communications between INETPhone servers and Directory Server(s). Thus Yang discloses a method of translating an identifier of destination of the call such as region or country code with a list of telephone numbers and associated IP addresses which is stored in the database of directory

Application/Control Number: 08/746901 Page 3

Art Unit: 2731

server in order to obtain the gateway for routing the call. Furthermore, Kubler discloses a method of translating an identifier of destination of the call such as region or country code with a list of telephone numbers and associated IP addresses which is stored in the database of directory server in order to obtain the gateway for routing the call (See col 101, lines 42 to col 102, lines 8). Furthermore, Kubler also discloses a method of transmitting a query which includes a type of call service to a server which stores a cross reference data base to obtain the gateways that can reach a type of call service (See col 100, lines 8-18).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Yang discloses and suggests a method of establishing a telephone call between a user A and B via Internet having a directory server for storing the addresses of a plurality of gateways in order to reply to a query message a plurality of gateways which contains a destination telephone number "user b". Kubler discloses a method of querying the cross reference database for obtaining a plurality of gateways and determining which gateway has a lowest cost to establish a telephone connection between the user A and B. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art will look into Kubler to obtain the teaching which Yang does not disclose.

Application/Control Number: 08/746901 Page 4

Art Unit: 2731

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Yang and Kubler disclose the packets being transmitting via Internet by using a well known in the art and wisely using in the public as Internet Protocol such as TCP/IP, UDP which includes Ping, trace route, packet echo and packet latency command as disclosed in Williams et al col 3, lines 8-48 (USP 5883891), Nelson et al Fig 3, Ref 108 (USP 5835720), Mckee et al col 1, lines 22-56 (USP 5477531) and Ranganathan et al Fig 3-4, col 6, lines 43 to col 7, lines 50 (USP 5931961) which are provided to the applicant in the first and final office action. These utilities use for diagnostic the computer network such as Internet. Therefore, applying this diagnostic utility into Internet to determine would have been explicit to one of ordinary skill in the art if a node is a live or not, time delay etc . . .

The teaching of Yang, Kubler and a well known TCP/IP diagnostic utility perform the claimed invention. Thus, the rejection maintains.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 08/746901

Art Unit: 2731

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Steven Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8848. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Chi Pham, can be reached on (703) 305-4378.

The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3988.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Steven Nguyen Art Unit 2731 August 17, 2000

Ship Man CHIH. PHAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700

//8/00

Page 5